

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/649,388 | 08/27/2003 | Mark Stephen Edwards | AD6753 US DIV2 | 6061 | |
| 23906 | 23906 7590 11/22/2005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| 2.20.0. | T DE NEMOURS AND | AFTERGU | AFTERGUT, JEFF H | | |
| LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128 4417 LANCASTER PIKE WILMINGTON, DE 19805 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1733 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/22/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | A | |
|--------------------|---|--|
| | | |
| ess | | |
| DAYS, | | |
| nunication. | | |
| | | |
| erits is | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 1.121(d). -152. | | |
| | | |
| age | | |
| | | |
| | 1 | |

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/649,388 | EDWARDS ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Jeff H. Aftergut | 1733 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under the process of the accordance with the practice under the process of the accordance with the practice under the process of the accordance with the practice under the process of the accordance with the practice under the process of the practice under the process of the practice under the practice under the process of the practice under the practice u | s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matters, pro Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 Fawn from consideration. For election requirement. For election objected to by the lead of a drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | Examiner. e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-30-2003. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | (PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/649,388 Page 2

Art Unit: 1733

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: The species of apparatus wherein the elongated article was made by wrapping about a mandrel a monofilament along with the feeding of the base string along the mandrel followed by bonding the monofilament to the base string and severing the monofilament as defined in claim 1 and Figure 3 for example, the species of forming loops with the monofilament and joining the loops to a base string whereby two loops are provided on either side of the base string and no cutting is associated with the formation of the elongated article as defined in claim 2 and Figures 14 and 15 for example, and the species of apparatus for forming the elongated article wherein rather then bonding the base string to the monofilaments a locking string is associated with the assembly to assemble the monofilaments between the base string and the locking string followed by cutting as defined in claim 3 and Figure 19.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. During a telephone conversation with Tamera Fair on 11-19-05 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Species 1, the feeding and joining of the base string to the monofilaments followed by cutting as depicted in Figure 3, claim 1. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 2 and 3 have been withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/649,388 Page 4

Art Unit: 1733

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Edwards et al '732.

Edwards et al '732 suggested that it was known at the time the invention was made to form an elongated article with an apparatus which included means for feeding a base string along the axis of a mandrel (base string 32 was fed along the outside of the mandrel along ridge 40 of the mandrel), means for wrapping at least one yarn around the axis to produce a number of yarn wraps per length of base string which are transported by the base string(column 3, lines 55-57). The reference additionally suggested a means for bonding the wraps of the yarn with the base string including the ultrasonic horn 42. the reference additionally suggested a means for cutting the wraps at a point downstream of where the wraps are bonded to the base string to thereby form the article having two rows of cut yarn on either side of the base string to which the yarn was connected, see cutter 44. All the means defined by Edwards '732 were clearly

Art Unit: 1733

capable of acting upon a monofilament as they were capable of acting upon a yarn in a similar manner to that claimed. The means of Edwards et al '732 are therefore deemed to satisfy all the requirements of the claim as the reference clearly suggested means capable of operations defined which are structurally identical to those recited by applicant for the apparatus which operated on the monofilament. In any event, it would have been obvious to provide the system of Edwards et al '732 such that it was capable of processing a monofilament for forming the elongated element.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeff H. Aftergut whose telephone number is 571-272-1212. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:15-345 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 571-272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffin Aftergat

Application/Control Number: 10/649,388

Art Unit: 1733

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1733 Page 6

JHA November 19, 2005